

Systematic review and meta-analysis of association between oral contraceptives and cardiovascular disease (CVD) in premenopausal women Oyesanmi A. Fabunmi<sup>1,2</sup>, Phiwayinkosi V. Dludla<sup>3</sup>, Bongani B. Nkambule<sup>1</sup>



<sup>1</sup>School of Laboratory Medicine and Medical Sciences (SLMMS), College of Health Sciences, University of KwaZulu-Natal, Durban, South Africa. <sup>2</sup>Department of Physiology, Ekiti State University, Ado-Ekiti, Nigeria.

<sup>3</sup>Biomedical Research and Innovation Platform, South African Medical Research Council, Tygerberg, South Africa.

### Introduction

- Cardiovascular diseases (CVDs) are the leading cause of death worldwide, with low- and middle-income nations accounting for over three-quarters of CVD deaths <sup>1</sup>.
- Meanwhile, the use of oral contraceptive is associated with an increased risk of cardiovascular events in women
- There were 3245 participants of which 1605 (49.5%) were oral contraceptive users while 1640 (50.5%) were non-users.
- The pooled estimate in our forest plot (figure 2) showed little to no difference in endothelia activation among oral contraceptive users when compared with non-users (SMD = -0.11, 95% CI (-0.81, 0.60) (*P*= 94%, Z = 0.30, p = 0.76).
- However, pooled estimates of other traditional cardiovascular risk variables showed a significant increased (SMD = 0.73, 95% CI (0.46, 0.99) (*P* = 94%, Z = 5.41, p < 0.001).</li>

#### of reproductive age <sup>2</sup>.

### Aim

- To provide a comprehensive synthesis of the available evidence on the link between oral contraceptive use and CVD-risk in premenopausal women.
- To assess the role of geographic disparities.

# Methodology

- This systematic review and meta-analysis was prepared according to the preferred reporting items for systematic reviews and meta-analysis (PRISMA) guidelines.
- Participants: Healthy premenopausal women
- Intervention: Oral contraceptive
- Comparator: Premenopausal women not using oral contraceptives
  Outcome: Endothelia activation and cardiovascular risk variables.

More so in terms of geographic disparities, Europe had the least effect size (SMD = 0.03, 95% CI (-0.21, 0.27), (*I*<sup>2</sup> = 0%, Z = 0.25 p = 0.88), while North America had the highest effect size (SMD = 1.86, 95% CI (-0.31, 4.04), (*I*<sup>2</sup> = 98%, Z = 1.68 p = 0.09) for CVD-risks in OC users when compared with non-users.

	OC users			Non users			Std. Mean Difference		Std. Mean Difference
Study or Subgroup	Mean	SD	Total	Mean	SD	Total	Weight	IV, Random, 95% CI	IV, Random, 95% CI
4.1.1 Nitric Oxide (NO)									
Fallah 2012	14.7	4.5	50	28.3	6.5	50	11.3%	-2.41 [-2.93, -1.89]	-
Merki-Feld 2002	57.8	27.3	12	61	22.9	12	10.5%	-0.12 [-0.92, 0.68]	
Zahra 2019	195.5	88.4	23	162.7	90.9	50	11.3%	0.36 [-0.14, 0.86]	
Subtotal (95% CI)			85			112	33.1%	-0.73 [-2.60, 1.14]	
Heterogeneity: Tau² = 2.63; Chi² = 60.66, df = 2 (P < 0.00001); I² = 97%									
Test for overall effect: .	Z = 0.77	(P=C)	1.44)						
4.4.2 EMD									
4.1.2 FMD									
Franceschini 2013	10.1	4.2	16	7.4	2.1	21	10.8%	0.83 [0.15, 1.51]	
Heidarzadeh 2014	11	3.53	30	15.8	9.22	30	11.3%	-0.68 [-1.20, -0.16]	
Lizarelli 2009	6.45	2.21	25	8.74	3.43	50	11.3%	-0.74 [-1.23, -0.24]	
Subtotal (95% CI)			71			101	33.5%	-0.22 [-1.12, 0.68]	$\bullet$
Heterogeneity: Tau² = 0.55; Chi² = 15.42, df = 2 (P = 0.0004); l² = 87%									
Test for overall effect: .	Z = 0.49	(P=C)	1.63)						

- The potential risk of bias of all included studies were assessed using the modified Downs and Black checklist.
- Data analysis were performed using the Review Manager (RevMan).

## Results

- Briefly, 179 studies were screened after searching from inception till date.
- 25 were included in the review, while 15 studies were included in the meta-analysis.





**Figure 2**: Forest plot of vascular and cellular markers of endothelia activation in premenopausal women on oral contraceptive versus nonusers. Abbreviations; FMD (flow mediated dilation), CCA-IMT (Common Carotid Artery Intima–Media thickness).

## Conclusion

- Evidence from this systematic review and meta-analysis showed little to no difference in the risk of endothelia dysfunction among oral contraceptive users when compared with non-users.
- There was a significant increase in the prevalence of other



Figure 1: Prisma flow chart represents study selection

traditional cardiovascular risk variables. Lastly, the magnitude of CVD-risks varies across different geographical region.

Acknowledgement

The authors appreciate the financial and technical support of all the affiliated institutions.

### References

 Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. Data and statistics on venous thromboembolism. Centers Dis. Control Prev.2020.
 Shufelt CL, Bairey Merz CN. Contraceptive Hormone Use and Cardiovascular Disease. *J Am Coll Cardiol* 2009;**53**:221–31. doi:10.1016/j.jacc.2008.09.042.